

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,202	02/16/2004	Ying-Yao Lin	REAP0008USA 2201	
27765	7590 02/21/2006		EXAMINER	
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			NGUYEN, LINH V	
P.O. BOX 5 MERRIFIEI	06 LD, VA 22116		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	, , , ,			
			DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/708,202	LIN ET AL.	(on)
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Linh V. Nguyen	2819	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Fe</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ol>	action is non-final.		merits is
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the composition of	election requirement.  c.  epted or b) objected to by the formula of the second of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.			• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign (a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	ite	152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/30/05;12/22/05</u> .	6) Other:	•	

Application/Control Number: 10/708,202 Page 2

Art Unit: 2819

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to RCE filed on 02/06/06. Claim 27 has been canceled. Claims 1 – 26 remain in this application.

# **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1- 26 provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 26 of copending Application No. 10/709,198 (allowing on 2/13/06). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both invention having the same subject matter for variable gain amplifier using current mirror having voltage gain is inversely in an exponential function; wherein the value of exponential

Art Unit: 2819

function being determined by the subtraction between the first and second controlling voltage; and the voltage gain increase while the gain controlling voltage decreases.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### **Prior Art**

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

## **Contact Information**

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linh Van Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1810. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 – 5:00 Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Rexford Barnie can be reached at (571) 272-7492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571-273-8300) for regular communications and (571-273-8300) for After Final communications.

Lullalyse

2/14/06

Linh Van Nguyen

Art Unit 2819